

# OPEN MEETING

# M E M O R A N D U M

ORIGINAL

RECEIVED AZ CORP COMMISSION DOCKET CONTROL

2016 AUG 24 A 9:41

TO:

THE COMMISSION

DOCKETED

AUG 2 4 2016

Arizona Corporation Commission

FROM:

Utilities Division

DOCKETED BY

DATE:

August 24, 2016

RE:

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF ARIZONA ELECTRIC POWER COOPERATIVE, INC. FOR A HEARING TO DETERMINE THE FAIR VALUE OF ITS PROPERTY FOR RATEMAKING PURPOSES, TO FIX A JUST AND REASONABLE RETURN THEREON, AND TO APPROVE

RATES DESIGNED TO DEVELOP SUCH A RETURN.

(DOCKET NO. E-01773A-12-0305)

SUBJECT:

APPLICATION FOR APPROVAL OF INITIAL ENVIRONMENTAL

COMPLIANCE STRATEGY AND REVISED ECAR TARIFF.

## **INTRODUCTION**

Enclosed are the Commission Staff's memorandum and proposed order for the application of Arizona Electric Power Cooperative, Inc. for approval of its initial ECS and revised ECAR Tariff (Docket No. E-01773A-12-0305). This is only a Staff recommendation to the Commission; it has not yet become an order of the Commission. The Commission can decide to accept, amend or reject Staff's proposed order.

You may file comments to the recommendation(s) of the proposed order by filing an original and thirteen (13) copies of the comments with the Commission's Docket Control Center at the Phoenix address listed below by 4:00 p.m. on or before **September 2, 2016.** 

This matter may be scheduled for Commission deliberation at its Open Meetings scheduled September 7, 2016 at 10:00 a.m. and September 8, 2016, at 10:00 a.m.

If you have any questions about this matter, please contact Candrea Allen of our Staff at (602) 3640235, or me, at (602) 542-7270.

#### **BACKGROUND**

On May 27, 2016, Arizona Electric Power Cooperative, Inc. ("AEPCO") filed an application with the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") requesting approval of its initial Environmental Compliance Strategy ("ECS") and revised Environmental Compliance Adjustment Rider ("ECAR") Tariff.<sup>1</sup> On June 1, 2016, AEPCO filed a supplemental exhibit to its initial

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>In Decision No. 75350, the Commission approved AEPCO's initial ECAR Tariff with the rates set at zero until such time that AEPCO filed an application for approval of an ECS plan and accompanying ECAR Tariff. This Decision also approved the ECAR Plan of Administration.

application which included copies of written correspondence from AEPCO's Class A members confirming consent of the application as required by the ECAR plan of administration.

AEPCO is a not-for-profit, generation and transmission cooperative that was initially granted a Certificate of Convenience & Necessity ("CC&N") by the Commission in Decision No. 33677, dated February 13, 1962. AEPCO provides generation and transmission services to three all-requirements distribution cooperative members (Duncan Valley Electric Cooperative, Inc.; Graham County Electric Cooperative, Inc.; and Anza Electric Cooperative, Inc.)<sup>2</sup> ("ARM" or collectively "ARMs") and three partial-requirements distribution cooperative members (Mohave Electric Cooperative, Inc.; Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative, Inc.; and Trico Electric Cooperative, Inc.) ("PRM" or collectively "PRMs"). The ARMs receive all of their power and energy needs from AEPCO while each PRM only commits to purchase a fixed amount of capacity from AEPCO and may secure additional power and energy from other sources. AEPCO's board members consist of officers from each of the member cooperatives.

The ECAR is a surcharge intended to provide recovery of potential costs associated with future environmental compliance requirements established by the Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") and any other potential obligations mandated by federal, state, and/or local environmental regulations for AEPCO's two coal-fired generating units at AEPCO's Apache Generating Station ("Apache Station"). The accompanying ECS describes the Qualified Environmental Compliance Projects ("QECPs") AEPCO would implement in order to comply with the required environmental regulations. The ECAR used to fund the QECPs described in the ECS applies to all of AEPCO's member distribution cooperatives.

## **APPLICATION**

Environmental Compliance Strategy

With this initial ECS, AEPCO is addressing two environmental regulations prescribed by the EPA: (1) Regional Haze Rules and (2) Mercury and Air Toxics Standards ("MATS"). The ECS describes the QECPs AEPCO developed to comply with the EPA regulations and includes estimated costs for the projects that would be collected through the ECAR.

The Regional Haze QECP calls for the conversion of Steam Unit 2 ("ST2") to natural gas-fired operation. In addition, selective non-catalytic reduction ("SNCR") technology will be installed on Steam Unit 3 ("ST3"). Once the SNCR is installed on ST3, it will continue to operate on coal. However, the use of the chemical urea will be required in order to further reduce ST3's emissions of NOx in order to comply with the Regional Haze requirements. AEPCO is requesting to recover the costs of the urea through the revised ECAR.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Anza Electric Cooperative is located in southern California.

The MATS QECP will require the use of two chemicals: calcium bromide (an oxidizer for mercury emissions control) and activated carbon (a mercury abatement sorbent). The activated carbon absorbs the mercury in the flue gas and prevents its emission into the environment. Although both the calcium bromide and activated carbon are being used in order to comply with the MATS requirements, only the activated carbon is recovered through the ECAR.<sup>3</sup>

According to AEPCO, the process for converting ST2 to natural gas-fired operation began in April 2016. Once the conversion of ST2 to natural gas-fired operation is complete, ST2 will no longer require the use of the activated carbon. Therefore, there will be no costs for activated carbon on ST2 after 2017. However, the use of the activated carbon will continue to be required for ST3 in addition to the use of urea for ST3. The use of urea will not be required for ST3 until the beginning of 2018.

## Environmental Compliance Adjustment Rider

Currently, the rates included in the ECAR Tariff are set at zero. As specified in the ECAR POA and Tariff, AEPCO would charge a fixed monthly rate for capital expenses incurred and a per kilowatt-hour ("kWh") rate for operations' expenses incurred in order to comply with environmental regulations. AEPCO is not requesting recovery of capital costs associated with the Regional Haze and MATS requirements at this time. The revised ECAR Tariff will only recover the actual costs of the activated carbon and urea incurred by AEPCO and will be billed with a one-month lag. The per kWh costs of the chemicals recovered through the ECAR will be allocated among each member cooperative based on each member's actual consumption of base resource energy for that billing month. AEPCO will track and administer the ECAR pursuant to the ECAR POA.

The annual total impact to AEPCO's member cooperatives of the activated carbon required is estimated to be between approximately \$1.60 million in 2016 and approximately \$3.20 million in 2034. For the urea required, AEPCO estimates the annual total impact to its member cooperatives to be between approximately \$1.20 million in 2018 and \$2.90 million in 2034.<sup>4</sup> According to AEPCO, each member cooperative intends to recover the ECAR charges from its retail end-use customers through its respective purchased power adjustment clause. AEPCO provided Staff with estimated monthly impacts of the ECAR surcharge on the member cooperatives' residential end-use customers. The monthly impact could range from \$0.59 to \$1.76 in 2016; \$0.81 to \$2.45 in 2017; and \$0.58 to \$2.10 in 2018.

#### **RECOMMENDATIONS**

Staff has reviewed AEPCO's application and recommends approval of its initial ECS and revised ECAR Tariff. In addition, Staff recommends that AEPCO be required to file, on an annual basis, a report that summarizes the actual ECAR charges passed on to each member cooperative.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Calcium Bromide qualifies for inclusion in RUS account 501 – Fuel, and therefore is recovered through the Purchased Power & Fuel Adjustment Clause ("PPFAC"). Activated carbon qualifies for inclusion in RUS account 502 – Steam Expenses, and therefore is recovered through the ECAR per the ECAR POA approved in Decision No. 75350.

<sup>4</sup>As stated earlier, once ST2 is converted to natural gas-fired operation, ST2 will no longer require the use of activated carbon.

THE COMMISSION August 24, 2016 Page 4

The first report should be filed, with Docket Control, in compliance with a Decision in this matter no later than November 1, 2017. If AEPCO files a revised ECAR tariff, returning the surcharge to zero, per the POA, Staff recommends that AEPCO no longer be required to file the report if the ECAR rates remain at zero for a full 12-month period. The report should include, at a minimum, the following information:

- The actual per kWh costs for each chemical used per month during the previous 12-month period;
- Each member cooperative's base resource kWh consumption per month during the previous 12-month period; and
- The total amount each member cooperative has paid per month during the previous 12-month period.

Further, Staff recommends that AEPCO file with Docket Control, as a compliance item, a revised ECAR Tariff, consistent with the Decision in this matter within 15 days of the effective date of the Decision.

Thomas M. Broderick

h n. South

Director

**Utilities** Division

TMB:CLA:nr\BH

ORIGINATOR: Candrea Allen

THE COMMISSION August 24, 2016 Page 5

SERVICE LIST FOR: Arizona Electric Power Cooperative, Inc.

DOCKET NO.: E-01773A-12-0305

On this 24th day of August, 2016, the foregoing document was filed with Docket Control as a <u>Utilities Division Memorandum & Proposed Order</u>, and copies of the foregoing were mailed on behalf of the Utilities Division to the following who have not consented to email service. On this date or as soon as possible thereafter, the Commission's eDocket program will automatically email a link to the foregoing to the following who have consented to email service.

Jennifer Cranston
Gallagher & Kennedy PA
2575 East Camelback Road, 11th Floor
Phoenix, Arizona 85016-9225
Attorneys for AEPCO
Jennifer.cranston@gknet.com
Consented to Service by Email

Michael W. Patten Jason D. Gellman Snell & Wilmer LLP One Arizona Center 400 East Van Buren Street Phoenix, Arizona 85004-2202 Attorneys for Trico

Vincent Nitido Karen Caruthers Trico Electric Cooperative, Inc. 8600 West Tangerine Road P.O. Box 930 Marana, Arizona 85653

Jeffrey W. Crockett Crockett Law Group PLLC 1702 East Highland Avenue, Ste. 204 Phoenix, Arizona 85016 Attorneys for SSVEC

Kirby Chapman Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative, Inc. 311 East Wilcox Drive Sierra Vista, Arizona 85635 Michael A. Curtis William P. Sullivan Curtis, Goodwin, Sullivan, Udall & Schwab 501 East Thomas Road Phoenix, Arizona 85012-3205 Attorneys for MEC

Tyler Carlson Peggy Gilman Mohave Electric Cooperative, Inc. PO BOX 1045 Bullhead City, Arizona 86430

Janice M. Alward Chief Counsel, Legal Division Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Thomas M. Broderick Director, Utilities Division Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Dwight Nodes Chief Administrative Law Judge, Hearing Division Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007

: ' 14

Nanisha Ross Admin Support Specialist

1	BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION				
2	DOUGLITTLE				
3	Chairman BOB STUMP				
4	Commissioner BOB BURNS				
	Commissioner				
5	TOM FORESE Commissioner				
6	ANDY TOBIN Commissioner				
7	Commissioner				
8	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION ) DOCKET NO. E-01773A-12-0305				
9	OF ARIZONA ELECTRIC POWER COOPERATIVE, INC. FOR A HEARING DECISION NO				
10	TO DETERMINE THE FAIR VALUE OF SORDER				
	ITS PROPERTY FOR RATEMAKING  PURPOSES, TO FIX A JUST AND  APPLICATION FOR APPROVAL OF  INITIAL ENVIRONMENTAL				
11	REASONABLE RETURN THEREON, AND COMPLIANCE STRATEGY AND REVISED				
12	TO APPROVE RATES DESIGNED TO CONTROL OF ECAR TARIFF.  DEVELOP SUCH RETURN.				
13					
14	Open Meeting				
15	September 7 and 8, 2016				
16	BY THE COMMISSION:				
17	FINDINGS OF FACT				
18					
	1. Arizona Electric Power Cooperative, Inc. ("AEPCO") is certificated to provide electric				
19	service as a public service corporation in the State of Arizona				
20	INTRODUCTION				
21	2. On May 27, 2016, AEPCO filed an application with the Arizona Corporation				
22	Commission ("Commission") requesting approval of its initial Environmental Compliance Strategy				
23	("ECS") and revised Environmental Compliance Adjustment Rider ("ECAR") Tariff. <sup>1</sup> On June 1				
24					
25					
26					
27	<sup>1</sup> In Decision No. 75350, the Commission approved AEPCO's initial ECAR Tariff with the rates set at zero until such time				
28	that AEPCO filed an application for approval of an ECS plan and accompanying ECAR Tariff. This Decision also approved the ECAR Plan of Administration.				

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

<sup>2</sup> Anza Electric Cooperative is located in southern California.

correspondence from AEPCO's Class A members confirming consent of the application as required by the ECAR plan of administration.

### **BACKGROUND**

- 3. AEPCO is a not-for-profit, generation and transmission cooperative that was initially granted a Certificate of Convenience & Necessity ("CC&N") by the Commission in Decision No. 33677, dated February 13, 1962. AEPCO provides generation and transmission services to three allrequirements distribution cooperative members (Duncan Valley Electric Cooperative, Inc.; Graham County Electric Cooperative, Inc.; and Anza Electric Cooperative, Inc.)<sup>2</sup> ("ARM" or collectively "ARMs") and three partial-requirements distribution cooperative members (Mohave Electric Cooperative, Inc.; Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative, Inc.; and Trico Electric Cooperative, Inc.) ("PRM" or collectively "PRMs"). The ARMs receive all of their power and energy needs from AEPCO while each PRM only commits to purchase a fixed amount of capacity from AEPCO and may secure additional power and energy from other sources. AEPCO's board members consist of officers from each of the member cooperatives.
- The ECAR is a surcharge intended to provide recovery of potential costs associated 4. with future environmental compliance requirements established by the Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") and any other potential obligations mandated by federal, state, and/or local environmental regulations for AEPCO's two coal-fired generating units at AEPCO's Apache Generating Station ("Apache Station").
- 5. The accompanying ECS describes the Qualified Environmental Compliance Projects ("QECPs") AEPCO would implement in order to comply with the required environmental regulations. The ECAR used to fund the QECPs described in the ECS applies to all of AEPCO's member distribution cooperatives.

Decision No.

## **APPLICATION**

Environmental Compliance Strategy

- 6. With this initial ECS, AEPCO is addressing two environmental regulations prescribed by the EPA: (1) Regional Haze Rules and (2) Mercury and Air Toxics Standards ("MATS"). The ECS describes the QECPs AEPCO developed to comply with the EPA regulations and includes estimated costs for the projects that would be collected through the ECAR.
- 7. The Regional Haze QECP calls for the conversion of Steam Unit 2 ("ST2") to natural gas-fired operation. In addition, selective non-catalytic reduction ("SNCR") technology will be installed on Steam Unit 3 ("ST3"). Once the SNCR is installed on ST3, it will continue to operate on coal. However, the use of the chemical urea will be required in order to further reduce ST3's emissions of NOx in order to comply with the Regional Haze requirements. AEPCO is requesting to recover the costs of the urea through the revised ECAR.
- 8. The MATS QECP will require the use of two chemicals: calcium bromide (an oxidizer for mercury emissions control) and activated carbon (a mercury abatement sorbent). The activated carbon absorbs the mercury in the flue gas and prevents its emission into the environment. Although both the calcium bromide and activated carbon are being used in order to comply with the MATS requirements, only the activated carbon is recovered through the ECAR. <sup>3</sup>
- 9. According to AEPCO, the process for converting ST2 to natural gas-fired operation began in April 2016. Once the conversion of ST2 to natural gas-fired operation is complete, ST2 will no longer require the use of the activated carbon. Therefore, there will be no costs for activated carbon on ST2 after 2017. However, the use of the activated carbon will continue to be required for ST3 in addition to the use of urea for ST3. The use of urea will not be required for ST3 until the beginning of 2018.

<sup>3</sup>Calcium Bromide qualifies for inclusion in RUS account 501 – Fuel, and therefore is recovered through the Purchased Power & Fuel Adjustment Clause ("PPFAC"). Activated carbon qualifies for inclusion in RUS account 502 – Steam Expenses, and therefore is recovered through the ECAR per the ECAR POA approved in Decision No. 75350.

Decision No.	Decision N	Jo.
--------------	------------	-----

## Environmental Compliance Adjustment Rider

- 10. Currently, the rates included in the ECAR Tariff are set at zero. As specified in the ECAR POA and Tariff, AEPCO would charge a fixed monthly rate for capital expenses incurred and a per kilowatt-hour ("kWh") rate for operations' expenses incurred in order to comply with environmental regulations. AEPCO is not requesting recovery of capital costs associated with the Regional Haze and MATS requirements at this time. The revised ECAR Tariff will only recover the actual costs of the activated carbon and urea incurred by AEPCO and will be billed with a one-month lag. The per kWh costs of the chemicals recovered through the ECAR will be allocated among each member cooperative based on each member's actual consumption of base resource energy for that billing month. AEPCO will track and administer the ECAR pursuant to the ECAR POA.
- The annual total impact to AEPCO's member cooperatives of the activated carbon required is estimated to be between approximately \$1.60 million in 2016 and approximately \$3.20 million in 2034. For the urea required, AEPCO estimates the annual total impact to its member cooperatives to be between approximately \$1.20 million in 2018 and \$2.90 million in 2034.<sup>4</sup> According to AEPCO, each member cooperative intends to recover the ECAR charges from its retail end-use customers through its respective purchased power adjustment clause. AEPCO provided Staff with estimated monthly impacts of the ECAR surcharge on the member cooperatives' residential end-use customers. The monthly impact could range from \$0.59 to \$1.76 in 2016; \$0.81 to \$2.45 in 2017; and \$0.58 to \$2.10 in 2018.

#### RECOMMENDATIONS

12. Staff has reviewed AEPCO's application and has recommended approval of its initial ECS and revised ECAR Tariff. In addition, Staff has recommended that AEPCO be required to file, on an annual basis, a report that summarizes the actual ECAR charges passed on to each member cooperative. The first report should be filed, with Docket Control, in compliance with a Decision in this matter no later than November 1, 2017. If AEPCO files a revised ECAR tariff, returning the surcharge to zero, per the POA, Staff has recommended that AEPCO no longer be required to file the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>As stated earlier, once ST2 is converted to natural gas-fired operation, ST2 will no longer require the use of activated carbon.

report if the ECAR rates remain at zero for a full 12-month period. The report should include, at a minimum, the following information:

- The actual per kWh costs for each chemical used per month during the previous 12month period;
- Each member cooperative's base resource kWh consumption per month during the previous 12-month period; and
- The total amount each member cooperative has paid per month during the previous 12-month period.
- 13. Further, Staff has recommended that AEPCO file with Docket Control, as a compliance item, a revised ECAR Tariff, consistent with the Decision in this matter within 15 days of the effective date of the Decision.

## **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

- 1. Arizona Electric Power Cooperative, Inc. is a public service corporation within the meaning of Article XV, of the Arizona Constitution.
- 2. The Commission has jurisdiction over Arizona Electric Power Cooperative, Inc. and the subject matter of the application.
- 3. The Commission, having reviewed the application and Staff's memorandum dated August 24, 2016, concludes that it is in the public interest to authorize Arizona Electric Power Cooperative, Inc.'s initial ECS and revised ECAR Tariff.

### **ORDER**

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Arizona Electric Power Cooperative, Inc. initial Environmental Compliance Strategy and revised Environmental Compliance Adjustment Rider Tariff, are hereby approved as discussed herein.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Arizona Electric Power Cooperative, Inc. file, on an annual basis, a report that summarizes the actual ECAR charges passed on to each member cooperative. The first report shall be filed, with Docket Control, in compliance with a Decision in this matter no later than November 1, 2017. If AEPCO files a revised ECAR tariff, returning the surcharge to zero, per the POA, AEPCO shall no longer be required to file the report if the ECAR

$\mathbf{D}$	ecision	No.	

Decision No.

rates remain at zero for a full 12-month period. The report shall include, at a minimum, the following information: The actual per kWh costs for each chemical used per month during the previous 12-month period; Each member cooperative's base resource kWh consumption per month during the previous 12-month period; and The total amount each member cooperative has paid per month during the previous 12-month period. 

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Arizona Electric Power Cooperative, Inc. shall file with 1 2 Docket Control, as a compliance item, a revised Environmental Compliance Adjustment Rider Tariff, consistent with the Decision in this matter within 15 days of the effective date of the Decision. 3 4 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Decision shall become effective immediately. 5 BY THE ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 6 7 8 CHAIRMAN LITTLE **COMMISSIONER STUMP** 9 10 11 COMMISSIONER FORESE COMMISSIONER TOBIN **COMMISSIONER BURNS** 12 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, JODI JERICH, Executive 13 Director of the Arizona Corporation Commission, have hereunto, set my hand and caused the official seal of this 14 Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the City of Phoenix, this \_\_\_\_\_\_, 2016. 15 16 17 JODI JERICH 18 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR 19 DISSENT: 20 21 DISSENT: 22 TMB:CLA:nr/BH 23 24 25 26 27 28 Decision No.

1	SERVICE LIST FOR: Arizona Electric Power Cooperative, Inc.		
2	DOCKET NO.: E-01773A-12-0305		
	Jennifer Cranston	Twice Carloon	
3	Gallagher & Kennedy PA	Tyler Carlson Peggy Gilman	
4	2575 East Camelback Road, 11th Floor		
٦	Phoenix, Arizona 85016-9225	Mohave Electric Cooperative, Inc. Post Office Box 1045	
5			
	Attorneys for AEPCO	Bullhead City, Arizona 86430	
6	Jennifer.cranston@gknet.com	Ii M Al J	
_	Consented to Service by Email	Janice M. Alward	
7	Michael W. Patten	Chief Counsel, Legal Division	
ا ا	1	Arizona Corporation Commission	
8	Jason D. Gellman	1200 West Washington Street	
9	Snell & Wilmer LLP	Phoenix, Arizona 85007	
<b>_</b>	One Arizona Center	7T1 A.C.D. 1 1 1	
10	400 East Van Buren Street	Thomas M. Broderick	
_	Phoenix, Arizona 85004-2202	Director, Utilities Division	
11	Attorneys for Trico	Arizona Corporation Commission	
	1	1200 West Washington Street	
12	Vincent Nitido	Phoenix, Arizona 85007	
12	Karen Caruthers	D 11 37 1	
13	Trico Electric Cooperative, Inc.	Dwight Nodes	
14	8600 West Tangerine Road	Chief Administrative Law Judge,	
17	Post Office Box 930	Hearing Division	
15	Marana, Arizona 85653	Arizona Corporation Commission	
		1200 West Washington Street	
16	Jeffrey W. Crockett	Phoenix, Arizona 85007	
	Crockett Law Group PLLC		
17	1702 East Highland Avenue, Ste. 204		
10	Phoenix, Arizona 85016		
18	Attorneys for SSVEC		
19			
1	Kirby Chapman		
20	Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative,		
	Inc.		
21	311 East Wilcox Drive		
	Sierra Vista, Arizona 85635		
22			
23	Michael A. Curtis		
23	William P. Sullivan		
24	Curtis, Goodwin, Sullivan, Udall & Schwab		
	501 East Thomas Road		
25	Phoenix, Arizona 85012-3205		
	Attorneys for MEC		
26			
27	•		
41	1		

Decision No.